To ensure the fairness and transparency in the evaluation of performance of delivery units, the PSHS System Executive Committee, in its meeting on 18 January 2021 via Web Conference, resolved to ADOPT the following RUBRICS as the PSHS System Ranking of Delivery Units for FY 2020 and so forth

| \# | PERFORMANCE/ <br> SUCCESS INDICATORS | RATING SCALE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Percentage of PSHS Graduates Pursuing STEM | $5=96-100 \%$ of students pursued STEM <br> $4=91-95 \%$ of students pursued STEM <br> $3=86-90 \%$ of students pursued STEM <br> $2=60-85 \%$ of students pursued STEM <br> 1 = below $60 \%$ of students pursued STEM |  |
| 2. | Cohort Survival Rate percentage who advance to the succeeding grade level until they complete the 6year scholarship program | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}=96-100 \% \\ & 4=91-95 \% \\ & 3=86-90 \% \\ & 2=60-85 \% \\ & 1=\text { below } 60 \% \end{aligned}$ | - For Intercampus Transfer, credit shall be to the originating campus <br> - Lateral entrants shall not be counted for purpose of computing cohort survival. |
| 3. | No. of Scholars Supported | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}=96-100 \% \\ & \mathbf{4}=91-95 \% \\ & 3=86-90 \% \\ & 2=60-85 \% \\ & \mathbf{1}=\text { below } 60 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4. | Percentage of winnings/ awards/recognitions from the total number of international STEM competitions participated in by PSHS scholars | ```For Quantity 5 = Exceed target by 30\% or more ( \(\geq 130 \%\) of target) 4 = Exceed target by \(15-29 \%\) (115-129\% of target) 3 = Achieved target by 100-114\% (100-114\% of target) 2 = Achieved target by 51-99\% (51-99\% of target) \(1=50 \%\) or below target``` | - Only placement in the Top 10 will be considered |


| \# | PERFORMANCE/ SUCCESS INDICATORS | RATING SCALE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | For Efficiency <br> \% of Gold / Top prize / First place or Outstanding award relative to Total participation (total no. of Top Award divided by total no. of Participation) <br> $5=30 \%$ or more Gold or Top Award <br> $4=15-29 \%$ of total participation <br> $3=10-14 \%$ of total participation <br> $2=5-9 \%$ of total participation <br> $1=0-14 \%$ of total participation |  |
| 5. | Percentage of winnings/ awards/recognitions in national/regional competitions | For Quantity <br> 5 = Exceed target by 30\% or more <br> ( $\geq 130 \%$ of target) <br> 4 = Exceed target by 15-29\% <br> (115-129\% of target) <br> 3 = Achieved target by 100-114\% <br> (100-114\% of target) <br> 2 = Achieved target by 51-99\% <br> (51-99\% of target) <br> $1=50 \%$ or below target <br> For Efficiency <br> \% of Gold / Top prize / First place or Outstanding award relative to Total participation (total no. of Top Award divided by total no. of Participation) <br> $5=30 \%$ or more Gold or Top Award <br> $4=15-29 \%$ of total participation <br> $3=10-14 \%$ of total participation <br> $2=5-9 \%$ of total participation <br> $1=0-14 \%$ of total participation | - For National Competition, only placement in the Top 5 will be considered <br> - For Regional Competition, only placement in the Top 3 will be considered |
| 6. | Percentile of PSHS students in Math in the USbased SAT | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}=91 \text { st and above } \\ & 4=81 \text { st to } 90^{\text {th }} \\ & 3=78 \text { th }-80 \text { th } \\ & 2=60 \text { th }-77 \text { th } \\ & 1=\text { below } 60^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ <br> target of all campuses should be 80th percentile for 2021 | - No distinction between SAT / PSAT scores in Math |
| 7. | Rank of Campuses based on the overall UPCAT scores | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}=\text { Top } 1-6 \\ & \mathbf{4}=\text { Top } 7-13 \\ & \mathbf{3}=\text { Top } 14-20 \\ & \mathbf{2}=\text { Top } 21-30 \\ & \mathbf{1}=\text { Below rank } 30 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 8. | Number of municipality/city recipients of promotional activities | ```5 = Exceed target by 30\% or more ( \(\geq 130 \%\) of target) 4 = Exceed target by \(15-29 \%\) (115-129\% of target) 3 = Achieved target by 100-114\% (100-114\% of target) 2 = Achieved target by 51-99\% (51-99\% of target) \(1=50 \%\) or below target``` |  |


| \# | PERFORMANCE/ SUCCESS INDICATORS | RATING SCALE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. | Percentage of municipalities with applicants to the NCE | $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{5 =}= & \text { Exceed target by } 30 \% \text { or more } \\ & (\geq 130 \% \text { of target }) \\ \mathbf{4 =} & \text { Exceed target by } 15-29 \% \\ & (115-129 \% \text { of target }) \\ \mathbf{3 =}= & \text { Achieved target by } 100-114 \% \\ & (100-114 \% \text { of target }) \\ 2= & \text { Achieved target by } 51-99 \% \\ & \quad(51-99 \% \text { of target) } \\ \mathbf{1 =}= & 50 \% \text { or below target } \end{aligned}$ | - Total number of municipalities per region will be based on the latest records of COMELEC |
| 10. | Percentage of freshmen who were able to get a GWA of 2.5 or better in the 2nd quarter of the school year | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}=98-100 \% \text { of students with } 2.5 \mathrm{GWA} \\ & 4=86-97 \% \text { of students with } 2.5 \mathrm{GWA} \\ & 3=85 \% \text { of students with } 2.5 \mathrm{GWA} \\ & \mathbf{2}=51-84 \% \text { of students with } 2.5 \mathrm{GWA} \\ & \mathbf{1}=\text { below } 50 \% \text { have } 2.5 \mathrm{GWA} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 11. | Budget Utilization Rate | $5=100 \%$ obligated <br> $4=91-99 \%$ obligated <br> $3=90 \%$ obligated <br> $2=80-89 \%$ obligated <br> 1=Below 80\% obligated |  |
| 12. | ISO 9001:2015 compliant | $\begin{aligned} & 5=\text { Compliant } \\ & 1=\text { Not Compliant } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 13 | Policies and actions resolved by the Board of Trustees | FOR PSHSS-OED <br> 5 = Exceed target by 30\% or more <br> ( $\geq 130 \%$ of target) <br> 4 = Exceed target by 15-29\% <br> (115-129\% of target) <br> 3 = Achieved target by 100-114\% <br> (100-114\% of target) <br> 2 = Achieved target by 51-99\% <br> (51-99\% of target) <br> $1=50 \%$ or below target | - Not applicable to campuses |
| 14 | No. of Academic activities (research, training, curriculum development, etc.) conducted | ```5 = Exceed target by \(30 \%\) or more ( \(\geq 130 \%\) of target) 4 = Exceed target by 15-29\% (115-129\% of target) 3 = Achieved target by 100-114\% (100-114\% of target) 2 = Achieved target by 51-99\% (51-99\% of target) \(1=50 \%\) or below target``` |  |
|  | No. of Administrative activities (training, audit, planning/budgeting, etc.) | 5 = Exceed target by 30\% or more <br> ( $\geq 130 \%$ of target) <br> 4 = Exceed target by 15-29\% <br> (115-129\% of target) <br> 3 = Achieved target by 100-114\% <br> (100-114\% of target) <br> 2 = Achieved target by 51-99\% <br> (51-99\% of target) <br> $1=50 \%$ or below target |  |
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